

Appn. No. 10/617,961 (Lyon) Art Unit 2856 Examiner A. Jackson Amendment C

Claims: Cancel all claims of record and substitute new claims 29 to 36 as follows:

Claims 1-14 (canceled).

Claims 15-28 (canceled from Amendment B)

- 5 **Claim 29 (new):** A receptacle having a main compartment provided with an open top approximately as great in area as the maximum cross sectional area of said compartment and having graduations representing macro measurement amounts of a predetermined capacity on the side wall of said main compartment and having means to measure micro measurement amounts of a predetermined capacity which involves reorienting said
- 10 receptacle at substantially 45 degrees and having means to aid in said reorientation.

Claim 30 (new): The receptacle of claim 29 where said means to measure micro measurement amounts includes at least one micro measurement graduation means.

Claim 31 (new): The receptacle of claim 30 where said micro measurement graduation means is provided by the application of a label.

- 15 **Claim 32 (new):** The receptacle of claim 29 where said means to measure micro amounts of a predetermined capacity is accomplished through the affixing of a separate device to a wall of said receptacle.

Claim 33 (new): The receptacle of claim 32 where said device is an auxiliary measurement depression which includes at least one measurement depression of a

- 20 predetermined capacity.

Claim 34 (new): The receptacle of claim 33 where said measurement depression includes at least one measurement graduation.

Appn. No. 10/617,961 (Lyon) Art Unit 2856 Examiner A. Jackson Amendment C

Claim 35 (new): The receptacle of claim 33 where said auxiliary measurement depression has a plurality of said measurement depressions.

Claim 36 (new): The receptacle of claim 35 where said plurality of said measurement depressions communicate directly with one another.

5

Remarks, General:

By the above amendment C, applicant has reorganized and reworded the claims to combine old claims 15, 20 and 21 to become a new independent claim. In doing so, I have made an attempt to comply with the Examiner's (Andre Jackson) final office action from August 1 2005, paragraph 3, page 4 and also subsequent conversations. In offering constructive assistance, the examiner had concluded that prior art (Schneider) had anticipated that a diluent and a concentrate were to be mixed together in order to form a solution of a certain proportion. Further, the Examiner felt that the supplemental measurement depressions of old claim 19 that communicated with one another was also anticipated by prior art (again, Schneider, specifically depressions 17 and 11, although they lie in two different planes). The Examiner suggested that combining old claims 15, 20 and 21 into a new independent claim 29 would be allowable as a new base claim.

20